
From: Collins, Mindy (ECY) <MINC461@ECY.WA.GOV>
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2017 2:53 PM
To: Stanfield, Brooks
Subject: Treoil - possible connection to ditch
Attachments: 7-11-06-IMG_4499.JPG; 7-11-06-IMG_4521.JPG; 7-11-06-IMG_4531.JPG; 7-11-06-IMG_4532.JPG; 20170228132633711.pdf; Treoil OSS permit 1989 254805.pdf

Hi Brooks,

I've been pouring through all of our old documents for this site and I believe I have established a connection to the Aldergrove ditch. I don't know if that connection still exists, but I wanted to share this with you in case you can use it.

Please look at the attached documents. The inspection report I found from 8/19/1992 documents north and south "sumps." The pictures are very dark but notes under the pictures suggest contamination with oil in both sumps. One photo appears to have been taken west of the 20' x 30' warehouse that is oriented north/south (the northern most warehouse), suggesting a connection to the open pits we observed adjacent to the northwest corner of that building. Another photo says there is a "trench leading to south sump," and then there's a third picture, taken from Aldergrove Rd looking north, that says "discharge from property. Enter drainage ditch along the road."

We also have a "sewage system disposal design" map with an approval date of 7/17/89 (attached), that shows tanks west of the south warehouse, and a 1.5" transport line from the tanks to a drain field. On this map also is another drain field that says "approved D.F. 10-27-88." I don't know if this drain field is a possible third discharge point or if this is intended to be another view of the "proposed relocation."

Note that none of the possible sumps are clearly connected to the tank farm and containment areas, but could have drains from within the warehouses connected. We do have one picture of a pit adjacent to the northern warehouse that has pipes leading into or out of it. This pit (or storm drain) had oil in it at the time of our last site visit.

Also attached are pictures of materials that could contain asbestos. These pictures were all taken in 2006, but I also have two pictures taken in 2000, and in Norm Peck's report following his inspection he states that a sample was collected. I can't find the results for that sample so I'm not sure it was ever analyzed.

We are meeting tomorrow to determine what we hope to accomplish during the site visit Monday. Certainly, establishing how much waste is still on site is our first priority, but potential for release, drainage points, location of sumps/septic, and remaining asbestos – looking materials are on the list.

Mindy Collins

Hazardous Waste Compliance Inspector
Washington State Department of Ecology
Bellingham Field Office
Phone: (360) 594-6411